

Fractional extensions of some boundary value problems in oil strata

MRIDULA GARG and ALKA RAO

Department of Mathematics, University of Rajasthan, Jaipur 302 004, India
E-mail: gargmridula@gmail.com; alkarao.ar@rediffmail.com

MS received 27 April 2006; revised 16 November 2006

Abstract. In the present paper, we solve three boundary value problems related to the temperature field in oil strata – the fractional extensions of the incomplete lumped formulation and lumped formulation in the linear case and the fractional generalization of the incomplete lumped formulation in the radial case. By using the Caputo differintegral operator and the Laplace transform, the solutions are obtained in integral forms where the integrand is expressed in terms of the convolution of some auxiliary functions of Wright function type. A generalization of the Laplace transform convolution theorem, known as Efros’ theorem is widely used.

Keywords. Laplace transform; Caputo differintegral operator; Efros’ theorem; Wright function; temperature field; auxiliary functions; convolution; fractional heat equation.

1. Introduction

The heat equation for a porous medium is derived in [1,10] under several assumptions on the model. Besides the exact formulation of the temperature field in oil strata, the following three approximate formulations are also treated:

- *The lumped formulation.* In this case, stratum’s thermal conductivity is infinitely large in the vertical direction and is finite in the horizontal direction. The cap and base rock is considered to be thermally isotropic.
- *The incomplete lumped formulation.* The horizontal heat transfer in both the cap and base rocks surrounding the strata is neglected.
- *The Lauwerier formulation.* The horizontal heat transfer in the strata is also neglected.

In the linear case of the incomplete lumped formulation the temperature field $u = u(x, z, t)$ satisfies the differential equation given as follows [1]:

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = a^2 \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial z^2}, \quad 0 < x, z, t < \infty, a > 0, \quad (1.1)$$

subject to the boundary condition

$$z = 0; \quad \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} - 2\gamma \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + \alpha \frac{\partial u}{\partial z}, \quad 0 < x, t < \infty, \quad (1.2)$$

and the additional conditions

$$\begin{aligned} (a) \quad & z = x = 0: u = 1, \\ (b) \quad & t = 0: u = 0, \\ (c) \quad & u \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } x^2 + z^2 \rightarrow \infty, \end{aligned} \quad (1.3)$$

where γ and α are positive constants.

In the case of the lumped linear formulation, the temperature field problem is described by (1.1), (1.2) and (1.3) where (1.3)(a) is replaced by the following condition:

$$z = x = 0; \quad \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} - 2\gamma u = -2\gamma. \quad (1.4)$$

By using the Laplace and the Hankel transforms, similar boundary value problems with more general conditions were studied by Ben Nakhi and Kalla [2]. Some fractional generalizations of the above discussed problem have been given by Boyadjiev and Scherer [3,4]. In the present paper we aim at further extending the works of Ben Nakhi, Kalla, Boyadjiev and Scherer.

In this paper we shall consider the following fractional differential equation in the linear case of the incomplete lumped formulation $u = u(x, z, t; \beta)$,

$$D_*^{2\beta} u = a^2 \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial z^2} - \lambda \frac{\partial u}{\partial z}; \quad 0 < x, z < \infty, \quad 0 < \beta < \frac{1}{2} \quad (1.5)$$

subject to the condition

$$z = 0: D_*^{2\beta} u = \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} - 2\gamma \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + \alpha \frac{\partial u}{\partial z}, \quad 0 < x, t < \infty, \quad (1.6)$$

and the additional conditions

$$\begin{aligned} (a) \quad & z = x = 0: u = Ah(t), \\ (b) \quad & \text{if } x^2 + z^2 \rightarrow \infty, \text{ then } u \rightarrow 0, \\ (c) \quad & t = 0: u = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (1.7)$$

where $\lambda, A, \gamma, \alpha$ are positive constants.

We also discuss the linear case of the complete lumped formulation when the problem remains the same as given by (1.5) subject to the boundary conditions (1.6) and the conditions (1.7)(b) and (c) with (1.7)(a) replaced by

$$z = x = 0: \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} - 2\gamma u = -2\gamma A. \quad (1.8)$$

We shall also study the following fractional incomplete lumped formulation in the radial case. Equation (1.5) in radial coordinates is considered as subject to the condition

$$z = 0: D_*^{2\beta} u = \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial r^2} + \frac{1-2\nu}{r} \frac{\partial u}{\partial r} + \alpha \frac{\partial u}{\partial z}, \quad 0 < r, t < \infty, \quad (1.9)$$

and the additional conditions

$$\begin{aligned}
 (a) \quad & z = r = 0: u = Ah(t), \\
 (b) \quad & \text{if } r^2 + z^2 \rightarrow \infty, \text{ then } u \rightarrow 0, \\
 (c) \quad & t = 0: u = 0
 \end{aligned} \tag{1.10}$$

Here $D_*^{2\beta}$ denotes the Caputo fractional derivative [9] defined as follows:

$$D_*^\beta f(t) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\Gamma(m-\beta)} \int_0^t \frac{f^m(x)}{(t-x)^{\beta+1-m}} dx, & m-1 < \beta < m \\ \frac{d^m f(t)}{dt^m}, & \beta = m, \quad m \in N \end{cases}. \tag{1.11}$$

The following rule of the Laplace transform will be used:

$$L[D_*^\beta f(t)] = p^\beta L[f(t)] - \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} f^k(0) p^{\beta-1-k}, \quad m-1 < \beta \leq m, \tag{1.12}$$

where the Laplace transform of a function $f(t)$ is defined as

$$\bar{f}(p) = L[f(t)] = \int_0^\infty e^{-pt} f(t) dt, \quad \text{Re}(p) > 0. \tag{1.13}$$

2. Auxiliary results

Efros' theorem [1]. If $G(p)$ and $q(p)$ are analytic functions and

$$F(p) = L[f(t)], e^{-q(p)\tau} G(p) = L[g(t, \tau)], \tag{2.1}$$

then

$$F(q(p))G(p) = L \left[\int_0^\infty f(\tau) g(t, \tau) d\tau \right], \tag{2.2}$$

where L is the Laplace transform operator.

Efros' theorem is a generalization of the Laplace transform convolution theorem when $q(p) = p$.

The following results will help in solving our problem [3,8]:

If $0 < t, \tau, z < \infty$,

$$g'(t, \tau, z; \beta) = \frac{(z + \alpha\tau)\beta}{at^{\beta+1}} M \left(\frac{z + \alpha\tau}{at^\beta}; \beta \right), \quad 0 < \beta < 1 \tag{2.3}$$

and

$$g''(t, \tau; \beta) = N \left(\frac{\tau}{t^{2\beta}}; \beta \right), \quad 0 < \beta \leq \frac{1}{2} \tag{2.4}$$

then

$$(i) \quad e^{-\frac{(z+\alpha\tau)}{a}p^\beta} = L\{g'(t, \tau, z; \beta)\}, \quad (2.5)$$

$$(ii) \quad \frac{1}{p}e^{-\tau p^{2\beta}} = L\{g''(t, \tau; \beta)\}. \quad (2.6)$$

The above results follow directly from p. 22, Lemma 2 of [3] on replacing $b = \alpha/a$.

Here, functions M and N are auxiliary functions defined as

$$M(z; \beta) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_H \frac{1}{\sigma^{1-\beta}} e^{\sigma - z\sigma^\beta} d\sigma, \quad 0 < \beta < 1 \quad (2.7)$$

and

$$N(z; \beta) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_H \frac{1}{\sigma} e^{\sigma - z\sigma^{2\beta}} d\sigma, \quad 0 < \beta < \frac{1}{2}, \quad (2.8)$$

where H denotes the Hankel path of integration that begins at $\sigma = -\infty - ib_1$ ($b_1 > 0$), encircles the branch point that lies along the negative real axis and ends up at $\sigma = -\infty + ib_2$ ($b_2 > 0$).

The above functions are special cases of the following Wright function (or Bessel–Maitland function) [5,11]

$$W(z; \lambda, \mu) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^n}{n! \Gamma(\lambda n + \mu)} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_H \frac{e^{\sigma + z\sigma^{-\lambda}}}{\sigma^\mu} d\sigma, \quad \lambda > -1, \mu > 0 \quad (2.9)$$

which is an entire function of z .

From eqs (2.7), (2.8) and (2.9) the following relations can easily be deduced:

$$M(z; \beta) = W(-z; -\beta, 1 - \beta) \quad (2.10)$$

and

$$N(z; \beta) = W(-z; -2\beta, 1). \quad (2.11)$$

For $\beta = 1/2$,

$$M\left(z; \frac{1}{2}\right) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} e^{-(z^2/4)}, \quad (2.12)$$

$$N\left(z; \frac{1}{2}\right) = H(t - z). \quad (2.13)$$

Here H denotes the Heaviside step function.

For $\beta = 1$, we have the known result [8]

$$M(z, 1) = \delta(z - 1),$$

where δ is the Dirac delta function.

Lemma. If $0 < t, \tau, z < \infty$, then

$$(a) \quad L^{-1}(e^{-\tau p^{2\beta}}) = \frac{2\beta\tau}{t^{2\beta+1}} M\left(\frac{\tau}{t^{2\beta}}; 2\beta\right), \quad 0 < 2\beta < 1 \quad (2.14)$$

and

$$(b) \quad L^{-1}\left(e^{-\frac{(z+\alpha\tau)}{a}\sqrt{p^{2\beta} + \frac{\lambda^2}{4a^2}}}\right) = \frac{\beta(z+\alpha\tau)}{a\sqrt{\pi}t^{2\beta+1}} \int_0^\infty u^{-1/2} \cdot e^{-\frac{(z+\alpha\tau)^2}{4a^2u}} e^{-\frac{\lambda^2u}{4a^2}} \cdot M\left(\frac{u}{t^{2\beta}}; 2\beta\right) du, \quad \text{for } 0 < 2\beta \leq 1. \quad (2.15)$$

Proof. Part (a) can easily be obtained on replacing β by 2β ($0 < 2\beta < 1$) and $z = 0; a = \alpha = 1$ in (2.3) and (2.5).

To prove part (b) we apply Efros' theorem. We first express

$$e^{-\frac{(z+\alpha\tau)}{a}\sqrt{p^{2\beta} + \frac{\lambda^2}{4a^2}}} = F(q(p))G(p),$$

where $G(p) = 1, q(p) = p^{2\beta} + (\lambda^2/4a^2)$ so that $F(q(p)) = e^{-\frac{(z+\alpha\tau)}{a}\sqrt{q(p)}}$.

Using a known result [6], we get

$$\begin{aligned} F(p) &= e^{-\frac{(z+\alpha\tau)}{a}\sqrt{p}} = L\left\{\left(\frac{z+\alpha\tau}{a}\right) \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\pi}t^{3/2}} e^{-\frac{(z+\alpha\tau)^2}{4a^2t}}\right\} \\ &= L(f(t)). \end{aligned}$$

Hence $G(p)e^{-\tau_1 q(p)} = e^{-\tau_1(p^{2\beta} + (\lambda^2/4a^2))}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} L^{-1}(G(p)e^{-\tau_1 q(p)}) &= e^{-\frac{\lambda^2\tau_1}{4a^2}} \frac{2\beta\tau_1}{t^{2\beta+1}} M\left(\frac{\tau_1}{t^{2\beta}}; 2\beta\right) \\ &= g(t, \tau_1). \end{aligned}$$

By Efros' theorem we can easily get the result (2.15).

In the case of $2\beta = 1$, on using the formula [8]

$$M(z, 1) = \delta(z - 1),$$

where δ is the Dirac delta function, we get, after some manipulations and applying the properties of the Dirac delta function, the following inverse Laplace transform

$$L^{-1}\left\{e^{-\frac{(z+\alpha\tau)}{a}\sqrt{p + \frac{\lambda^2}{4a^2}}}\right\} = \frac{(z+\alpha\tau)}{2a\sqrt{\pi}} t^{-3/2} e^{-\frac{(z+\alpha\tau)^2}{4a^2t}} e^{-\frac{\lambda^2t}{4a^2}}. \quad (2.16)$$

3. Fractional extension for incomplete lumped formulation in the linear case

Theorem 1. The solution of the linear case of the fractional incomplete lumped formulation problem (1.5), (1.6) and (1.7) is given by

$$u(x, z, t) = \frac{Ae^{\gamma x}}{2\sqrt{\pi}} \int_0^\infty \frac{xe^{-\frac{x^2}{4\tau} - \gamma^2\tau}}{\tau^{3/2}} e^{\frac{\lambda}{2a^2}(z+\alpha\tau)} g_1(t, \tau, z; \beta) d\tau, \quad (3.1)$$

where $g_1(t, \tau, z; \beta)$ is defined as the Laplace transform convolution of three functions given by eq. (3.17).

Proof. Taking the Laplace transform of eq. (1.5), boundary condition (1.6) and the conditions (1.7) with respect to variable t , we obtain by using the result (1.12),

$$p^{2\beta} \bar{u} = a^2 \frac{\partial^2 \bar{u}}{\partial z^2} - \lambda \frac{\partial \bar{u}}{\partial z}; 0 < x, z < \infty, \quad (3.2)$$

$$z = 0: p^{2\beta} \bar{u} = \frac{\partial^2 \bar{u}}{\partial x^2} - 2\gamma \frac{\partial \bar{u}}{\partial x} + \alpha \frac{\partial \bar{u}}{\partial z}, 0 < x < \infty, \quad (3.3)$$

$$(a) \quad z = x = 0: \bar{u} = A\bar{h}(p), \quad (3.4)$$

$$(b) \quad \bar{u} \rightarrow 0 \text{ if } x^2 + z^2 \rightarrow \infty, \quad (3.5)$$

where $\bar{u} = \bar{u}(x, z, p; \beta) = L\{u(x, z, t; \beta); t \rightarrow p\}$ and $\bar{h}(p)$ denotes the Laplace transform of $h(t)$ which occurs in the boundary condition (1.7)(a). The solution of (3.2) remains bounded as $z \rightarrow \infty$ and is given by

$$\bar{u}(x, z, p; \beta) = B(x, p)e^{-\frac{z}{2}K}, \quad (3.6)$$

where

$$K = -\frac{\lambda}{a^2} + \sqrt{\frac{\lambda^2}{a^4} + \frac{4p^{2\beta}}{a^2}}. \quad (3.7)$$

Using (3.6) in eq. (3.3) to determine function $B(x, p)$ we get the ordinary differential equation

$$\frac{d^2 B}{dx^2} - 2\gamma \frac{dB}{dx} - \left(p^{2\beta} + \frac{\alpha}{2}K\right)B = 0, \quad (3.8)$$

under the conditions obtained from (3.4) and (3.5) as

$$B(0, p) = A\bar{h}(p) \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} B(x, p) = 0. \quad (3.9)$$

The solution of (3.8) with (3.9) is given by

$$B(x, p) = A\bar{h}(p)e^{x(\gamma - \sqrt{\gamma^2 + p^{2\beta} + \frac{\alpha}{2}K})}. \quad (3.10)$$

Thus the solution given by eq. (3.6) is expressed as

$$\bar{u}(x, z, p; \beta) = Ae^{\gamma x} h(p) e^{-\frac{z}{2}K} - e^{-x\sqrt{\gamma^2 + p^{2\beta} + \frac{\alpha}{2}K}}. \quad (3.11)$$

We shall use Efros' theorem to find the inverse Laplace transform of (3.11) to obtain the solution of our proposed problem.

Express

$$\bar{u}(x, z, p; \beta) = F(q(p; \beta))G(p),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} G(p) &= Ae^{\gamma x} \bar{h}(p) e^{-\frac{\lambda}{2} K}, \\ q(p) &= \gamma^2 + p^{2\beta} + \frac{\alpha}{2} K, \\ F(p) &= e^{-x\sqrt{p}} = L \left\{ \frac{x e^{-x^2/4t}}{2\sqrt{\pi t^3}} \right\} = L\{f(t)\}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.12)$$

$$\begin{aligned} e^{-\tau q(p;\beta)} G(p) &= Ae^{\gamma x - \gamma^2 \tau} e^{-\tau p^{2\beta}} \bar{h}(p) e^{-\frac{(z+\alpha\tau)}{2} K}, \\ &= L(g(t, \tau, z; \beta)). \end{aligned} \quad (3.13)$$

Then

$$u(x, z, t; \beta) = L^{-1}(\bar{u}) = \int_0^\infty f(\tau) g(t, \tau, z; \beta) d\tau, \quad (3.14)$$

where functions f and g are defined in (3.12) and (3.13) respectively.

Now to find $g(t, \tau, z; \beta)$, we write

$$g(t, \tau, z; \beta) = Ae^{\gamma x - \gamma^2 \tau} e^{\frac{\lambda}{2a^2}(z+\alpha\tau)} g_1(t, \tau, z; \beta), \quad (3.15)$$

where

$$g_1(t, \tau, z; \beta) = L^{-1} \left[e^{-\tau p^{2\beta}} \bar{h}(p) e^{-\frac{(z+\alpha\tau)}{a} \sqrt{p^{2\beta} + \frac{\lambda^2}{4a^2}}} \right]. \quad (3.16)$$

Using the Laplace transform convolution theorem,

$$g_1(t, \tau, z; \beta) = L^{-1}(e^{-\tau p^{2\beta}}) * L^{-1}(\bar{h}(p)) * L^{-1} \left(e^{-\frac{(z+\alpha\tau)}{a} \sqrt{p^{2\beta} + \frac{\lambda^2}{4a^2}}} \right). \quad (3.17)$$

Here in (3.17) the functions can be simplified by using results (2.14), (1.7)(a) and (2.15).

Hence the required solution is given as

$$u(x, z, t; \beta) = \frac{Ae^{\gamma x}}{2\sqrt{\pi}} \int_0^\infty \frac{x e^{-\frac{x^2}{4\tau} - \gamma^2 \tau}}{\tau^{3/2}} e^{\frac{\lambda}{2a^2}(z+\alpha\tau)} g_1(t, \tau, z; \beta) d\tau. \quad (3.18)$$

Special cases

(i) When $2\beta = 1$, Theorem 1 reduces to the problem studied by Ben Nakhi and Kalla [2]

and the solution can be obtained by representing the function g_1 in (3.17) as

$$\begin{aligned}
 g_1\left(t, \tau; \frac{1}{2}\right) &= L^{-1}(e^{-\tau p}) * L^{-1}(\bar{h}(p)) * L^{-1}\left(e^{-\frac{(z+\alpha\tau)}{a}} \sqrt{p + \frac{\lambda^2}{4a^2}}\right) \\
 &= \{\delta(t-\tau) * h(t)\} * \left\{\frac{(z+\alpha\tau)}{2a\sqrt{\pi}} t^{-3/2} e^{-\frac{(z+\alpha\tau)^2}{4a^2 t}} e^{-\frac{\lambda^2 t}{4a^2}}\right\} \\
 &= \{h(t-\tau)H(t-\tau)\} * \left\{\frac{(z+\alpha\tau)}{2a\sqrt{\pi}} t^{-3/2} e^{-\frac{(z+\alpha\tau)^2}{4a^2 t}} e^{-\frac{\lambda^2 t}{4a^2}}\right\} \\
 &= \frac{H(t-\tau)(z+\alpha\tau)}{2a\sqrt{\pi}} \int_0^{t-\tau} u^{-3/2} e^{-\frac{(z+\alpha\tau)^2}{4a^2 u}} e^{-\frac{\lambda^2 u}{4a^2}} h(t-\tau-u) du.
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.19}$$

On substitution of (3.19) in (3.18), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 u\left(x, z, t, \frac{1}{2}\right) &= \frac{A x e^{\gamma x}}{4a\pi} \int_0^t \frac{e^{-\frac{x^2}{4\tau} - \gamma^2 \tau}}{\tau^{3/2}} e^{\frac{\lambda}{2a^2}(z+\alpha\tau)} \\
 &\quad \cdot \left[(z+\alpha\tau) \int_0^{t-\tau} u^{-3/2} e^{-\frac{(z+\alpha\tau)^2}{4a^2 u}} e^{-\frac{\lambda^2 u}{4a^2}} h(t-\tau-u) du \right] d\tau.
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.20}$$

(ii) On taking $\lambda = 0, A = h(t) = 1$ in (1.5) and (1.7)(a) Theorem 1 reduces to the problem considered by Boyadjiev and Scherer [3] and the solution is obtained by representing the function g_1 as a convolution of two functions in Theorem 1 given as

$$g_1(t, \tau, z; \beta) = L^{-1}\left(\frac{e^{-\tau p^{2\beta}}}{p} e^{-\frac{(z+\alpha\tau)}{a}} p^\beta\right). \tag{3.21}$$

From (2.5) and (2.6), we get

$$g_1(t, \tau, z; \beta) = g'(t, \tau, z; \beta) * g''(t, \tau, z; \beta). \tag{3.22}$$

If we further take $\beta = 1/2$, it yields the result given in Antimirov *et al* [1].

4. Fractional lumped formulation in the linear case

Theorem 2. The solution of the fractional lumped linear formulation (1.5), (1.6), (1.7)(b), (c) and (1.8) is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
 u(x, z, t; \beta) &= 2\gamma A e^{\gamma x} \int_0^\infty \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi\tau}} e^{-\left(\frac{x^2}{4\tau} + \tau\gamma^2\right)} - \gamma e^{\gamma x} \operatorname{erfc}\left(\frac{x}{2\sqrt{\tau}} + \gamma\sqrt{\tau}\right) \right) \\
 &\quad \cdot e^{\frac{\lambda}{2a^2}(z+\alpha\tau)} g_2(t, \tau, z; \beta) d\tau,
 \end{aligned} \tag{4.1}$$

where $g_2(t, \tau, z; \beta)$ is defined as the Laplace transform convolution of two functions given by (2.6) and (2.14).

Proof. Taking the Laplace transform of the equations of the fractional lumped formulation problem, it reduces to the following boundary value problem:

$$p^{2\beta} \bar{u} = a^2 \frac{\partial^2 \bar{u}}{\partial z^2} - \lambda \frac{\partial \bar{u}}{\partial z}, \quad 0 < x, z < \infty, \quad (4.2)$$

$$z = 0; p^{2\beta} \bar{u} = \frac{\partial^2 \bar{u}}{\partial x^2} - 2\gamma \frac{\partial \bar{u}}{\partial x} + \alpha \frac{\partial \bar{u}}{\partial z}; \quad 0 < x < \infty, \quad (4.3)$$

$$(a) \quad z = x = 0: \frac{\partial \bar{u}}{\partial x} - 2\gamma \bar{u} = -\frac{2\gamma A}{p},$$

$$(b) \quad \text{if } x^2 + z^2 \rightarrow \infty \text{ then } \bar{u} \rightarrow 0. \quad (4.4)$$

Proceeding as in Theorem 1, the solution to (4.2) is

$$\bar{u}(x, z, p; \beta) = B(x, p) e^{-\frac{z}{2} K}, \quad (4.5)$$

where

$$K = -\frac{\lambda}{2a^2} + \frac{2}{a} \sqrt{p^{2\beta} + \frac{\lambda^2}{4a^2}}. \quad (4.6)$$

It is bounded as $z \rightarrow \infty$.

Now at $z = 0$, (4.5) satisfies eq. (4.3) i.e.

$$\frac{d^2 B}{dx^2} - 2\gamma \frac{dB}{dx} - \left[p^{2\beta} + \frac{\alpha}{2} K \right] B = 0. \quad (4.7)$$

As $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} B(x, p) = 0$ and B satisfies (3.8), solution to (4.7) is

$$B(x, p) = B(p) e^{x(\gamma - \sqrt{\gamma^2 + p^{2\beta} + \frac{\alpha}{2} K})}, \quad B(p) = B(0, p). \quad (4.8)$$

From (4.4)(a) and (4.8),

$$B(p) = \frac{2\gamma A}{p \left(\gamma + \sqrt{\gamma^2 + p^{2\beta} + \frac{\alpha}{2} K} \right)}. \quad (4.9)$$

Hence from (4.8),

$$B(x, p) = \frac{2\gamma A}{p \left(\gamma + \sqrt{\gamma^2 + p^{2\beta} + \frac{\alpha}{2} K} \right)} e^{x(\gamma - \sqrt{\gamma^2 + p^{2\beta} + \frac{\alpha}{2} K})} \quad (4.10)$$

and from (4.5), $\bar{u}$ is expressed as

$$\bar{u}(x, z, p; \beta) = \frac{2\gamma A}{p \left(\gamma + \sqrt{\gamma^2 + p^{2\beta} + \frac{\alpha}{2} K} \right)} e^{x(\gamma - \sqrt{\gamma^2 + p^{2\beta} + \frac{\alpha}{2} K})} e^{-\frac{z}{2} K}. \quad (4.11)$$

Now using Efros' theorem to express $\bar{u}(x, z, p; \beta) = F(q(p; \beta))G(p)$, we take

$$q(p; \beta) = \gamma^2 + p^{2\beta} + \frac{\alpha}{2} K,$$

$$G(p) = \frac{2\gamma A}{p} e^{\gamma x - \frac{z}{2} K}$$

and

$$F(q(p; \beta)) = \frac{e^{-x\sqrt{q(p; \beta)}}}{\gamma + \sqrt{q(p; \beta)}}.$$

Using formula [6]

$$\begin{aligned} F(p) &= \frac{e^{-x\sqrt{p}}}{\gamma + \sqrt{p}} = L \left[\frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} e^{-\frac{x^2}{4t}} - \gamma e^{\gamma x + \gamma^2 t} \operatorname{erfc} \left(\frac{x}{2\sqrt{t}} + \gamma\sqrt{t} \right) \right] \\ &= L(f(t)), \end{aligned} \quad (4.12)$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned} e^{-\tau q(p; \beta)} G(p; \beta) &= 2\gamma A e^{\gamma x - \tau \gamma^2} e^{\frac{\lambda}{2a^2}(z + \alpha \tau)} \frac{e^{-\tau p^{2\beta}}}{p} e^{-\frac{(z + \alpha \tau)}{a} \sqrt{p^{2\beta} + \frac{\lambda^2}{4a^2}}} \\ &= L[g(t, \tau, z; \beta)] \end{aligned} \quad (4.13)$$

$$e^{-\tau q(p; \beta)} G(p; \beta) = 2\gamma A e^{\gamma x - \tau \gamma^2} e^{\frac{\lambda}{2a^2}(z + \alpha \tau)} L[g_2(t, \tau, z; \beta)], \quad (4.14)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} g_2(t, \tau, z; \beta) &= L^{-1} \left[\frac{e^{-\tau p^{2\beta}}}{p} e^{-\frac{(z + \alpha \tau)}{a} \sqrt{p^{2\beta} + \frac{\lambda^2}{4a^2}}} \right] \\ &= L^{-1} \left(\frac{e^{-\tau p^{2\beta}}}{p} \right) * L^{-1} \left[e^{-\frac{(z + \alpha \tau)}{a} \sqrt{p^{2\beta} + \frac{\lambda^2}{4a^2}}} \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (4.15)$$

Functions in the right-hand side of (4.15) are represented by (2.6) and (2.15) respectively. Thus the solution (4.1) is obtained by using results (4.12), (4.13), (4.14) and (4.15) in the following:

$$u(x, z, t; \beta) = \int_0^\infty f(\tau) g_2(t, \tau, z; \beta) d\tau.$$

Special cases

(i) When $2\beta = 1$, Theorem 2 reduces to the form studied by Ben Nakhi and Kalla [2]. To derive it we take $2\beta = 1$ in (4.15) and use the result (2.16) to get

$$\begin{aligned} g_2(t, \tau, z; \beta) &= L^{-1} \left(\frac{e^{-\tau p}}{p} \right) * L^{-1} \left(e^{-\frac{(z + \alpha \tau)}{a} \sqrt{p + \frac{\lambda^2}{4a^2}}} \right) \\ &= H(t - \tau) * \left\{ \frac{(z + \alpha \tau)}{2a\sqrt{\pi}} t^{-3/2} e^{-\frac{(z + \alpha \tau)^2}{4a^2 t}} e^{-\frac{\lambda^2 t}{4a^2}} \right\} \\ &= H(t - \tau) \int_0^{t-\tau} \frac{(z + \alpha \tau)}{2a\sqrt{\pi}} u^{-3/2} e^{-\frac{(z + \alpha \tau)^2}{4a^2 u}} e^{-\frac{\lambda^2 u}{4a^2}} du. \end{aligned}$$

Now using the integral given in [1] we get

$$g_2(t, \tau, z; \beta) = \frac{a\sqrt{\pi}}{(z + \alpha\tau)} H(t - \tau) \left[e^{\frac{\lambda}{2a^2}(z + \alpha\tau)} \operatorname{erfc} \left(\frac{\lambda\sqrt{t - \tau}}{2a} + \frac{(z + \alpha\tau)}{2a\sqrt{t - \tau}} \right) + e^{-\frac{\lambda}{2a^2}(z + \alpha\tau)} \operatorname{erfc} \left(\frac{(z + \alpha\tau)}{2a\sqrt{t - \tau}} - \frac{\lambda}{2a}\sqrt{t - \tau} \right) \right].$$

Substitution of $g_2(t, \tau, z; \beta)$ in (4.1) gives us the desired result.

(ii) For $\lambda = 0, A = h(t) = 1$ and setting $b = \alpha/a$ the problem (1.5), (1.6), (1.7)(b), (c), (1.8) and the corresponding solution given by (4.1) reduce to the fractional formulation in the lumped linear case studied in [3].

Further, in case $\beta = 1/2$, our problem reduces to the form considered in Antimirov *et al* [1].

5. Fractional incomplete lumped formulation: The radial case

Theorem 3. Here we consider temperature field problem for fractional incomplete lumped formulation in the radial case represented by (1.5), (1.9) and (1.10) and the solution is given by

$$u(r, z, t; \beta) = \frac{A}{\Gamma(v)} \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{\tau^{v+1}} \left(\frac{r}{2} \right)^{2v} e^{-\frac{r^2}{4\tau}} e^{\frac{\lambda}{2a^2}(z + \alpha\tau)} g_1(t, \tau, z; \beta) d\tau, \quad (5.1)$$

where $g_1(t, \tau, z; \beta)$ is the Laplace transform convolution of the three functions given by eq. (3.17).

Proof. Proceeding as in the previous theorem, if we take the Laplace transform of problem (1.5) and the set of conditions (1.9) and (1.10), we get the following:

$$p^{2\beta} \bar{u} = a^2 \frac{\partial^2 \bar{u}}{\partial z^2} - \lambda \frac{\partial \bar{u}}{\partial z}, \quad 0 < r, z < \infty, \quad (5.2)$$

$$z = 0: p^{2\beta} \bar{u} = \frac{\partial^2 \bar{u}}{\partial r^2} + \frac{1 - 2v}{r} \frac{\partial \bar{u}}{\partial r} + \alpha \frac{\partial \bar{u}}{\partial z}, \quad 0 < r < \infty, \quad (5.3)$$

$$(a) \quad r = z = 0: \bar{u} = A\bar{h}(p),$$

$$(b) \quad \text{if } r^2 + z^2 \rightarrow \infty \text{ then } \bar{u} \rightarrow 0. \quad (5.4)$$

The solution of (5.2) which remains bounded as $z \rightarrow \infty$ is

$$\bar{u}(r, z, p; \beta) = B(r, p) e^{-\frac{K}{2}z}, K = -\frac{\lambda}{a^2} + \frac{2}{a} \sqrt{p^{2\beta} + \frac{\lambda^2}{4a^2}}. \quad (5.5)$$

To determine the function $B(r, p)$, substitution of (5.5) into (5.3) gives the following ordinary differential equation:

$$\frac{d^2 B}{dr^2} + \frac{1 - 2v}{r} \frac{dB}{dr} - \left(p^{2\beta} + \frac{\alpha}{2} K \right) B = 0 \quad (5.6)$$

and the corresponding initial conditions are obtained by using (5.5) in (5.4) as follows:

$$B(0, p) = A\bar{h}(p) \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} B(r, p) = 0. \quad (5.7)$$

Now the bounded solution of eq. (5.6) with the condition (5.7), using the formula (p. 986, 8.494 (9) of [7]) is given as

$$B(r, p) = B_1(p) \left(\frac{\mu r}{2} \right)^\nu K_\nu(\mu r), \quad (5.8)$$

where $\mu = \sqrt{p^{2\beta} + (\alpha/2)K}$ and $K_\nu(\mu r)$ is the modified Bessel function of the second kind, of order ν , and $B_1(p)$ is a constant which can be determined with the help of (5.7) and the standard result.

$$K_\nu(z) \sim \frac{1}{2} \Gamma(\nu) \left(\frac{2}{z} \right)^\nu \quad \text{as } z \rightarrow 0 \quad (5.9)$$

is given as

$$B_1(p) = \frac{2}{\Gamma(\nu)} A\bar{h}(p). \quad (5.10)$$

Now substitution of (5.10) and (5.8) into (5.5) gives the solution:

$$\bar{u}(r, z, p; \beta) = \frac{2}{\Gamma(\nu)} A\bar{h}(p) \left(\frac{\mu r}{2} \right)^\nu K_\nu(\mu r) e^{-\frac{zK}{2}}. \quad (5.11)$$

To get the solution of our proposed problem, we shall find the inverse Laplace transform of (5.11) with the help of Efros' theorem. So, let us first express

$$\bar{u}(r, z, p; \beta) = G(p; \beta) F(q(p; \beta)), \quad (5.12)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} G(p; \beta) &= \frac{2}{\Gamma(\nu)} A\bar{h}(p) e^{-\frac{zK}{2}}, \\ q(p; \beta) &= p^{2\beta} + \frac{\alpha}{2} K \end{aligned} \quad (5.13)$$

$$F(q(p; \beta)) = \left(\frac{\mu r}{2} \right)^\nu K_\nu(\mu r),$$

and

$$\mu = \sqrt{q(p; \beta)}.$$

Using the well-known result [6], we get

$$\begin{aligned} F(p) &= \left(\frac{r\sqrt{p}}{2} \right)^\nu K_\nu(r\sqrt{p}) = L \left[\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{r}{2} \right)^{2\nu} \frac{1}{t^{\nu+1}} e^{-\frac{r^2}{4t}} \right] \\ &= L[f(t; \beta)] \end{aligned} \quad (5.14)$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned}
 G(p; \beta) e^{-\tau q(p; \beta)} &= \frac{2A}{\Gamma(\nu)} \bar{h}(p) e^{-\frac{z}{2}K} e^{-\tau(p^{2\beta} + \frac{\alpha}{2}K)} \\
 &= \frac{2A}{\Gamma(\nu)} e^{\frac{\lambda}{2a^2}(z+\alpha\tau)} e^{-\tau p^{2\beta}} \bar{h}(p) e^{-\frac{(z+\alpha\tau)}{2} \sqrt{p^{2\beta} + \frac{\lambda^2}{4a^2}}} \\
 &= L \left[\frac{2A}{\Gamma(\nu)} e^{\frac{\lambda}{2a^2}(z+\alpha\tau)} g_1(t, \tau, z; \beta) \right] \\
 &= L[g(t, \tau, z; \beta)].
 \end{aligned} \tag{5.15}$$

Then by Efros' theorem, the solution (5.1) is obtained by using (5.14) and (5.15) into the following

$$u(r, z, t; \beta) = \int_0^\infty f(\tau; \beta) g_1(t, \tau, z; \beta) d\tau. \tag{5.16}$$

Here $g_1(t, \tau, z; \beta)$ is the Laplace transform convolution of the three functions given by eq. (3.17).

Special cases

(i)

COROLLARY 1.

If we take $2\beta = 1$ in Theorem 3, the problem reduces to the following form:

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = a^2 \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial z^2} - \lambda \frac{\partial u}{\partial z}; \quad 0 < r, z, t < \infty, \tag{5.17}$$

$$z = 0: \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial r^2} + \frac{1-2\nu}{r} \frac{\partial u}{\partial r} + \alpha \frac{\partial u}{\partial z}; \quad 0 < r, t < \infty, \tag{5.18}$$

$$(a) \quad r = z = 0: u = Ah(t),$$

$$(b) \quad \text{if } r^2 + z^2 \rightarrow \infty, \text{ then } u \rightarrow 0,$$

$$(c) \quad t = 0: u = 0, \tag{5.19}$$

and the solution is given by

$$u(r, z, t; 1/2) = \frac{A}{\Gamma(\nu)} \int_0^\infty \left(\frac{r^2}{4\tau} \right)^\nu \frac{e^{-\frac{r^2}{4\tau}}}{\tau} e^{\frac{\lambda}{2a^2}(z+\alpha\tau)} g_1(t, \tau, z; 1/2) d\tau, \tag{5.20}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
 g_1\left(t, \tau; z; \frac{1}{2}\right) &= L^{-1} \left(e^{-\tau p} \bar{h}(p) e^{-\frac{(z+\alpha\tau)}{a} \sqrt{p + \frac{\lambda^2}{4a^2}}} \right) \\
 &= L^{-1}(e^{-\tau p} \bar{h}(p)) * L^{-1} \left(e^{-\frac{(z+\alpha\tau)}{a} \sqrt{p + \frac{\lambda^2}{4a^2}}} \right).
 \end{aligned}$$

Using

$$L^{-1}(e^{-\tau p} \bar{h}(p)) = h(t - \tau)H(t - \tau) \quad (5.21)$$

and (2.16) the solution is expressed as

$$u\left(r, z, t; \frac{1}{2}\right) = \frac{A}{\Gamma(v)} \int_0^t \frac{1}{\tau} \left(\frac{r^2}{4\tau}\right)^v e^{-\frac{r^2}{4\tau}} e^{\frac{\lambda}{2a^2}(z+\alpha\tau)} \left(\int_0^{t-\tau} \frac{(z+\alpha\tau)}{2a\sqrt{\pi}} u^{-3/2} h(t-\tau-u) e^{-\frac{\lambda^2 u}{4a^2}} e^{-\frac{(z+\alpha\tau)^2}{4a^2 u}} du \right) d\tau. \quad (5.22)$$

(ii) On taking $\lambda = 0, A = h(t) = 1$ and setting $b = \alpha/a$ in Theorem 3, we obtain the result given by Boyadjiev and Scherer [3].

Further for $2\beta = 1$, we get the result given in Antimirov *et al* [1].

Acknowledgements

The authors are highly thankful to the anonymous referee for valuable suggestions which led to the present form of the paper. The authors are also thankful to Prof. S L Kalla for useful discussions. The second author (AR) is thankful to the University Grants Commission, New Delhi for awarding Teacher Research Fellowship.

References

- [1] Antimirov M Ya, Kolyskhin A A and Vaillancourt R, Applied integral transoms (Providence: American Mathematical Society) (1993) p. 113, 166, 168, 171, 173, 176
- [2] Ben Nakhi Y and Kalla S L, Some boundary value problems of temperature fields on oil strata, *Appl. Math. Comput.* **146** (2003) 105–119
- [3] Boyadjiev L and Scherer Rudolf, Fractional extensions of the temperature field problem in oil strata, *Kuwait J. Eng.* **31** (2004) 15–32
- [4] Boyadjiev L and Scherer R, On the fractional heat equation, Proceedings of the International Conference on Mathematics and its Applications, ICMA (2004) pp. 105–115
- [5] Erdélyi A, Magnus W, Oberhettinger F and Tricomi F G, (eds), Higher transcendental functions (New York: McGraw-Hill) (1955) vol. III, ch. 18
- [6] Erdélyi A, Magnus W, Oberhettinger F and Tricomi F G, (eds), Tables of integral transforms (New York: McGraw-Hill) (1953) vol. I, p. 146, 245, 246
- [7] Gradshteyn I S, Ryzhik I M and Jeffrey Alan (eds), Table of integrals, series and products (New York: Academic Press) (1965) p. 986
- [8] Mainardi F, Luchko Y and Pagnini G, The fundamental solution of the space-time fractional diffusion, *Fractional Calculus Appl. Anal.* **4(2)** (2001) 153–192
- [9] Podlubny I, Fractional differential equations (New York: Academic Press) (1999) pp. 79–80
- [10] Rubinshtein L L, Temperature fields in oil strata (Moscow: Nauka) (1972)
- [11] Samko S S, Kilbas A A and Marichev O I, The fractional integrals and derivatives, theory and applications (Amsterdam: Gordon and Breach Publ.) (1993) p. 437